

New Autosomal and Y-STR Loci and Kits: Making Data Driven Decisions

# Internal Validation of PowerPlex<sup>®</sup> Fusion



Hope Olson ND Office of Attorney General Crime Laboratory Division

# History of Kits in ND

- 2000 AmpFlISTR® Cofiler/Profiler Casework and Database, 310 Genetic Analyzer
- 2007 AmpFlISTR® Identifiler Database, 3130 Genetic Analyzer
- 2008 AmpFlISTR® Identifiler Casework, 3130 Genetic Analyzer
- 2008 Y-Filer<sup>™</sup> Casework, 3130 Genetic Analyzer
- 2013 Direct Amp Fusion Database, 3500 Genetic Analyzer
- 2014 PowerPlex® Fusion Casework, 3500 Genetic Analyzer, and ArmedXpert™

# **Considerations in Selecting a Kit**

- Sensitivity
- Robustness
- One Kit or Two Kits
- Ease of Direct Amplification
- NDIS Approval

Software Changes Instruments 5 dyes vs. 6 dyes

- Cost
- Training
- How to handle the data
- Changes in Loci for CODIS (Not Effective Yet)

# Change?

- ND's IT policy mandates that every computer had to be compatible with Windows 7 - April, 2014
- Platforms had to change while still processing casework (3130 Genetic Analyzers and GMID to 3500 Genetic Analyzer with GMID-X)
- Purchased one 3500 Genetic Analyzer and started training two new analysts last year
  - We just purchased a second 3500 Genetic Analyzer in June, 2014

### **Internal Validation**

Database and Casework
Studies completed:

- Optimized Cycle Number
- Precision and Reproducibility
- Known and Mock Case Samples
- Sensitivity and Stochastic
- Mixture Studies
- Contamination Assessment

### Optimized Cycle Number Database

- Direct Amplification Procedure
  - Add 400 µl Swab Solution
  - Incubate for 60 minutes at 90°C
  - Amplify 1  $\mu$ l extract
  - Half Reaction Volume
  - Amplify using 25 cycles
    - We tried 25, 26, and 27 cycles

### Optimized Cycle Number Casework

- Started out validating 30 cycles
- Evaluated data and reduced cycle number to 29
- Full Reaction Volume

### **Precision and Reproducibility**

- Ladders were injected 74 times all capillaries were tested
- A report was generated from the Report Manager in GMID®-X and imported as a tab delimited file for import into Excel
- Average bp size and standard deviation were calculated for each allele for each locus
- The average standard deviation across all loci was calculated as well

### **Precision and Reproducibility**

### Ladders

- The average standard deviation across all loci was less than 0.064 bps
- Generally, the loci with the largest sizes; TPOX D10S1248, FGA, Penta E, Penta D, and DYS391 had alleles with the greatest standard deviations (0.048, 0.051,0.051,0.052, 0.060, and 0.063)
- All well below 0.5 bp sizing window

### Precision and Reproducibility Database Known Samples

- NIST Standard 2391 B was injected at 8, 12, 18, and 24 seconds
  - Average std deviation across all loci was 0.027
- Positive Control 2800 injected 8 times over different time periods
  - Average std deviation across all loci was 0.105 bps
  - Range of values 0.036 to 0.156 bps
  - Values taken from two different columns
- Both Sample Sets demonstrated precision and reproducibility
- 46 Previously Analyzed Known Samples were compared with AmpFlSTR® Identifiler were concordant at the loci examined

### Precision and Reproducibility Casework Known Samples

- NIST Standard 2391 B was injected at 8, 12, 18, and 24 seconds
  - Average std deviation across all loci was 0.027
- Positive Control 2800 injected 8 times over different time periods
  - Average std deviation across all loci was 0.105 bps
  - Range of values 0.036 to 0.156 bps
  - Values taken from two different columns
- Both demonstrated precision and reproducibility
- 36 Previously Analyzed Known Samples were compared with AmpF&ISTR<sup>®</sup> Identifiler were concordant at the loci examined

### Database Analytical Threshold

### **Direct Amplification**

- 41 amplification blanks were analyzed at 50 rfus
- Average baseline was 63 rfus
- Standard Deviation 20 rfus
- Minimum Analytical Threshold set at 150 rfus

### **Casework Analytical Threshold**

17 amplification blanks were analyzed at 25 rfus

Each Dye Channel was evaluated:

- Blue (37 rfus average; 12 rfus std dev) = 73 (3 std dev + mean)
- Green (42 rfus average; 23 rfus std dev) = 111 (3 std dev + mean)
- Red (41 rfus average; 27 rfus std dev) = 122 (3 std dev + mean)
- Yellow (37 rfus average; 16 rfus std dev) = 85 (3 std dev + mean)

The analytical threshold for analyzing casework was set to 150 rfus to encompass three standard deviations plus the mean for each of the dye channels

### **Casework Analytical Threshold**

Additional samples were reviewed to determine if 150rfus was reasonable

- IQ Extraction Blanks
- Differential Extraction Blanks
- Amplification Blanks

Over 100 samples were reviewed and the average +3 standard deviations were lower than 150rfus

-After watching the NIST validation seminar the AT will be re-evaluated using a different sample set and determine if it should be adjusted

### Database Stochastic

### **Direct Amplification**

- NIST Standard 2391 A, B, and C were amplified in triplicate using 7.8, 15.6, 31.25, 63.5, 125, 250, 500, and 1000pg
- Samples run at 8, 12, 18, and 24 second injections
- Report Manger in GeneMapper<sup>®</sup>ID-X was used and a tab delimited file was imported into Excel to determine peak height ratios and drop-out for the sister allele

# **Database Stochastic**

### **Direct Amplification**

- NIST Standard 2391 Components A, B, and C had maximum stochastic values of 305, 320, and 420
- Peak height ratios were set at 60%
- An additional study was performed by varying the amount of the buccal swab in duplicate which yielded a stochastic level of 484

- Stochastic level was set at 550 rfus

### **Casework Stochastic**

 Three known samples were amplified in triplicate using 31.25, 65.5, 125, 250, 500, and 1000 picograms

 Run on a 3500 Genetic Analyzer utilizing four different injection parameters (8, 12, 18, and 24 seconds)

### **Casework Stochastic**

Reviewing each individual dye channel the following levels were identified:

- Blue 878 rfus
- Green 1142 rfus
- Yellow 1106 rfus
- Red 1315 rfus

Level was set at 1350 rfus, PHR set at 63% Target Amount 250 pg to 1000 pg

#### Input DNA vs. Drop-out of the Sister Allele



### Casework Stochastic

### Peak Height Ratio vs Input DNA



### **Casework Stochastic**

Looking at the heat maps full profiles were consistently obtained at 125 picograms (Some even at **65.5 picograms**)



### Full profiles at 65.5 picograms



### **Casework Mixtures**

Mixtures were prepared in duplicate using the following ratios with 1.0 ng of Input DNA

- 1:19, 1:9, 1:4, 1:2, 1:1, 2:1, 4:1, 9:1, 19:1 Male:Male
- 1:19, 1:9, 1:4, 1:2, 1:1, 2:1, 4:1, 9:1, 19:1 Female:Male
- Each amplified product was subjected to four injection times (8, 12, 18, and 24 seconds)

ArmedXpert<sup>™</sup> was used to separate the mixtures and handle the data

### **Casework Mixtures**

# Alleles from the minor contributor were detected in all mixture proportions:



### **Casework Mixtures**



# Known and Non-Probative Samples Casework

- 36 Known samples
- 24 Non-probative mock casework samples
- NIST 2391 A
- 2 proficiency tests

All samples yielded concordant results for the loci compared

# Mock Casework

- 24 Non-Probative samples
  - Cigarette butt
  - Baseball cap
  - T-shirt swab
  - Urine swab
  - Feces swab
  - Steering wheel swab (2)
  - Blood swab (8 samples)
  - Swab of a coat hanger
  - Swab of ignition
  - Swab of shoe
  - Swab of a knife handle
  - Semen samples (2)
  - Fingernail scrapings

# Mock Casework Baseball cap



### Mock Casework

#### Comparing AmpF<sup>l</sup>ISTR<sup>®</sup> Identifiler with PowerPlex<sup>®</sup> Fusion



### **Contamination Assessment**

Two methods were used to assess contamination for both Direct Amplification and Casework

- Samples were set up using a BiomekNXp in a Striped and Checkerboard configuration
- 64 known samples were distributed across two 96 well plates
- No detectable alleles above 150 rfu's were present in the blank wells when the samples were amplified with PowerPlex<sup>®</sup> Fusion

### PowerPlex<sup>®</sup> Fusion Casework



Cigarette Butt

### **PowerPlex®** Fusion Casework



Swab from car seat

### PowerPlex<sup>®</sup> Fusion Casework



Swab from a safe door

### Acknowledgements

ND Office of Attorney General **Crime Laboratory Division DNA Unit: Amy Gebhardt** Jennifer Penner **Stephanie Maier** Shannon Johnson **Alexandria Gibbs Kyle Splichal Emily Verstraete** 

