



Advantages for STR Markers

- Small product sizes are generally compatible with degraded DNA and PCR enables recovery of information from small amounts of material
- Multiplex amplification with fluorescence detection enables high power of discrimination in a single test
- · Commercially available in an easy to use kit format
- Uniform set of core STR loci provide capability for national and international sharing of criminal DNA profiles





Value of STR Kits

Advantages

- Quality control of materials is in the hands of the manufacturer (saves time for the end-user)
- Improves consistency in results across laboratories same allelic ladders used
- Common loci and PCR conditions used aids DNA databasing efforts
- Simpler for the user to obtain results

Disadvantages

- Contents may not be completely known to the user (e.g., primer sequences)
- · Higher cost to obtain results

100 125 150	PCR Product Size	(bp) 250 275 300	Sa 325 App	me DNA s blied Biosy	ample run with stems STR Kits
D3S1358	VWA FGA		Blue	Rando	m <u>Match Probability</u> 1.0 x 10 ⁻³
Amel	TH01 TPOX	CSF1PO	Gree	nl	7.8 x 10 ⁻⁴
D3S1358 Amel D5S8	TH01 D13S317 18 VWA TPOX FG/	CSF1PO D7S820	Profi	ler™	9.0 x 10 ⁻¹¹
Amel D8S1179 D3S1358 D5	VWA D13S31 S818 D21S11 FG/	D7S820	Prof	iler Plus™	2.4 x 10 ⁻¹¹
D3S1358 Amel	TH01 TPOX	D7S820 CSF1PO	COfi	er™	2.0 x 10 ^{.7}
D3S1358 Amel D8S1179 D19S433	TH01 D21S11 FGA	D16S539 D18S51 D2S	I338 SGN	I Plus™	4.5 x 10 ⁻¹³











































































For more information, see J.M. Butler (2005) Forensic DNA Typing, 2nd Edition, pp. 133-138











Loous	STR Kite/Accove	Reculto	Reference
New S discor http://\	ection of ST dance and a www.cstl.nis	RBase (launched to trac) llele dropout frequency): t.gov/biotech/strbase/Nu	k MiniFiler IIIAlleles.htm
D13S317	Identifiler vs miniplexes	Shift of alleles 10 and 11 due to deletion outside of miniplex assay	Butler et al. (2003), Drabek et al. (2004)
D16S539	PP1.1 vs PP16 vs COfiler	Loss of alleles with PP1.1 ; fine with PP16 and COfiler	Nelson et al. (2002)
D8S1179	PP16 vs ProPlus	Loss of alleles 15, 16, 17, and 18 with ProPlus; fine with PP16	Budowle et al. (2001)
FGA	PP16 vs ProPlus	Loss of allele 22 with ProPlus; fine with PP16	Budowle and Sprecher (2001)
D18S51	SGM vs SGM Plus	Loss of alleles 17, 18, 19, and 20 with SGM Plus; fine with SGM	Clayton et al. (2004)
CSF1PO	PP16 vs COfiler	Loss of allele 14 with COfiler; fine with PP16	Budowle et al. (2001)
TH01	PP16 vs COfiler	Loss of allele 9 with COfiler; fine with PP16	Budowle et al. (2001)
D21S11	PP16 vs ProPlus	Loss of allele 32.2 with PP16; fine with ProPlus	Budowle et al. (2001)



	STR Me	asured Mutatio	n Rates http:	//www.cstl.nist.go	v/biotech/strbase/n	nutation.htm
5	STR Locus	Maternal Meioses (%)	Paternal Meioses (%)	Either Parent	Total Mutations	Rate
	CSF1PO	70/179,353 (0.04)	727/504,342 (0.14)	303	1,100/683,695	0.16%
	FGA	134/238,378 (0.06)	1,481/473,924 (0.31)	495	2,110/712,302	0.30%
. <u>o</u>	TH01	23/189,478 (0.01)	29/346,518 (0.008)	23	75/535,996	0.01%
2	TPOX	16/299,186 (0.005)	43/328,067 (0.01)	24	83/627,253	0.01%
l B	VWA	133/400,560 (0.03)	907/646,851 (0.14)	628	1,668/1,047,411	0.16%
^o	D3S1358	37/244,484 (0.02)	429/336,208 (0.13)	266	732/580,692	0.13%
l ∺	D5S818	84/316,102 (0.03)	537/468,366 (0.11)	303	924/784,468	0.12%
ō	D7S820	43/334,886 (0.01)	550/461,457 (0.12)	218	811/796,343	0.10%
8	D8S1179	54/237,235 (0.02)	396/264,350 (0.15)	225	675/501,585	0.13%
÷	D13S317	142/348,395 (0.04)	608/435,530 (0.14)	402	1,152/783,925	0.15%
	D16S539	77/300,742 (0.03)	350/317,146 (0.11)	256	683/617,888	0.11%
	D18S51	83/130,206 (0.06)	623/278,098 (0.22)	330	1,036/408,304	0.25%
	D21S11	284/258,795 (0.11)	454/306,198 (0.15)	423	1,161/564,993	0.21%
	Penta D	12/18,701 (0.06)	10/15,088 (0.07)	21	43/33,789	0.13%
	Penta E	22/39,121 (0.06)	58/44,152 (0.13)	55	135/83,273	0.16%
	D2S1338	2/25,271 (0.008)	61/81,960 (0.07)	31	94/107,231	0.09%
	D19S433	22/28,027 (0.08)	16/38,983 (0.04)	37	75/67,010	0.11%
	F13A01	1/10,474 (0.01)	37/65,347 (0.06)	3	41/75,821	0.05%
	FES/FPS	3/18,918 (0.02)	79/149,028 (0.05)	None reported	82/167,946	0.05%
	F13B	2/13,157 (0.02)	8/27,183 (0.03)	1	11/40,340	0.03%
	LPL 522 (ACTRD2)	0/8,821 (<0.01)	9/16,943 (0.05)	4 None reported	13/25,764	0.05%
5	233 (ACTBP2) *D	ata used with permission fro	om American Association o	f Blood Banks (AAB	B) 2002 Annual Repo	0.04%

Summary of STR Mutations

Mutations impact paternity testing and missing persons investigations but not forensic direct evidence-suspect matches...

- · Mutations happen and need to be considered
- Usually 1 in ~1000 meioses
- Paternal normally higher than maternal
- VWA, FGA, and D18S51 have highest levels
- TH01, TPOX, and D16S539 have lowest levels

Primer Synthesis and Dye Blobs

- Oligonucleotide primers are synthesized from a 3'-to-5' direction on solid-phase supports using phosphoramidite chemistry
- The fluorescent dye is attached at 5'end of the primer (it is the last component added)
- The coupling reaction at each step of primer synthesis is not 100%, which can lead to some minor level impurities
- Left-over dye molecules that are not removed by post-synthesis purification can be carried through the PCR amplification step and injected onto the capillary to produce "dye blobs" or "dye artifacts" in CE electropherograms (wider than true allele peaks)

May 12-13, 2008







General Information •Intro to STRs (downloadable PowerPoint) •STR Fact Sheets Sequence Information Multiplex STR Kits •Variant Allele Reports •Training Slides

•FBI CODIS Core Loc •DAB Standards •NIST SRMs 2391 •Published PCR Prime •Y-Chromosome STR Population Data Validation Studies •miniSTRs

STRBase

Forensic Interest Data	Supplemer
•FBI CODIS Core Loci	Reference
•DAB Standards	 Technology
•NIST SRMs 2391	 Addresses
 Published PCR Primers 	 Links to Oth
•Y-Chromosome STRs	 DNA Quant

•mtDNA •New STRs

New information is added regularly...