













- The NIST SRM Office began selling SRM 2372 Human DNA Quantitation Standard on October 5, 2007
- Cost will be \$316.00 per unit



HAS A	II Cert	tified Values ance for SR	s of Decadic RM 2372
Component	260 nm	error at 260nm	Nominal [DNA], ng/μL
Α	1.049	± 0.025	52.5
В	1.073	± 0.030	53.6
С	1.086	± 0.028	54.3
The nominal 50 ng/µL dou <b>uncertainty</b>	DNA cor uble stran in this c	ncentration was e aded DNA. <b>We de</b> onversion.	estimated <i>Using 1 OD</i> = o not know the





Exam	ple of	Cal	libran	t Va	lue A	ssig	Inmei	nt
Standard	1		2		3		4	
Dilution	[DNA]	SD	[DNA]	SD	[DNA]	SD	[DNA]	SD
10x	105	3.2	122	1	126	5.8	256	10.1
50x	105	3.3	122	7.3	145	0.8	272	7.8
100x	99	6.2	113	11.6	138	0.5	270	10.5
200x	100	1.7	137	18.5	137	3.9	311	3.7
Average	102		123		136		277	
Stated	200		200		200		260	
Deviation	-49%		-38%		-32%		6%	
The ta	able abo Con	ve is npone	a sumi ent A a	mary s the	of the re calibrar	esult nt.	s using	

















o contribut	e to these conco				
		rdance study	y summaries, <u>click here</u> .		
Locus	STR Kits// Compared	Assays I	Results	Frequency of Primer Binding Site Mutation	Source
CSF1PO	<u>MiniFiler</u> v <u>PP16</u>	rs ID vs	MF: 11,11 and ID: 11,11.1 One base insertion in Identifiler amplicon outside of MiniFiler and PP16 primers	1/1308	Hill et al. (2007)
CSF1PO	PP16 vs CO	Ofiler	Loss of allele 14 with COfiler; fine	2/1537	Budowle st al. (2001)
	🙀 🖗 💋 STR Fact Shee	etCSF1PO	9		
FGA	CSF1PO		AmpF/STR® MiniFiler	rm	Cotton st al. (2000)
FGA FGA	CSF1PO Other Names	100 ba	AmpF/STR® MiniFiler	100 bp	Cotton st al. (2000) Budowle and Sprecher (2001)
FGA FGA FGA	CSF1PO Other Names CSF UmSTB: 126169	100 bp	AmpF/STR® MiniFiler	400 bp 2055	Cotton et al. (2000) Budowle and Sprecher (2001) Delamoye et al. (2004)
FGA FGA FGA	CSF1PO Other Names CSF UmSTS 156169 Repeat: [AGAT] = GenBack	100 bp	AmpF/STR® MiniFiler 200 bp 000 bp 117 075820	TRANSPORT	Cotton et al. (2000) Budowle and Sprecher (2001) Delamoye et al. (2004)





TECHNICAL NOTE	J Available	Forensic Sci, . doi: 10.1111/ e online at: ww	July 2007, Vo j.1556-4029.20 w.blackwell-s	l. 52, No. 4 07.00491.x ynergy.com			
Carolyn R. Hill, <sup>1</sup> M.S.; Margaret C. Kline, <sup>1</sup> M.S.; Julio J. Mulero, <sup>2</sup> Chien-Wei Chang, <sup>2</sup> Ph.D.; Lori K. Hennessy, <sup>2</sup> Ph.D.; and John M. Buta	Ph.D er, <sup>1</sup> Pl	.; Robert h.D.	E. Lagacé	5, <sup>2</sup> B.A.;			
Concordance Study Between the AmpF <sup>l</sup> STI	₹®						
MiniFiler <sup>™</sup> PCR Amplification Kit and	<b>—</b>						
Conventional STR Typing Kits*	<u> </u>	Locus	Ethnicity	Source	MiniFiler	Identifiler	PP16
	1	CSF1PO	H	IBB	11,11	11, "11.1"	11,11
	3	D138317	н	IBB	11,11	9,11	9,11
656 NIST U.S. population samples	4	D138317	H	IBB	13,13	9,13	9,13
4	6	D138317 D138317	AA	IBB	14,14	9,14	9,14
Identifiler T 16	Ť	D13S317	AA	IBB	12,12	8,12	8,12
	8	D138317	AA	IBB	11,11	8,11	8,11
	10	D138317 D138317	AA	IBB	11,13	9.11	9.11
miniSTRs 8	11	D138317	AA	IBB	12,12	9,12	9,12
(Ref #4 and #5)	12	D138317	AA	DDC	10,10	9,10	0.12
	14	D138317 D138317	č	DDC	12,12	9,12	9,12
	15	D138317	č	DDC	8,8	8,10	
481 father-son samples 171 ABI samples	16	D138317	Α	DDC	12,12	10,12	
	17	D168539	AA	DDC	9,9	9,11	11.12
Identifiler	19	D168539	AA	MLN	11,11	9,11	9,11
	20	D16S539	AA	DDC	14,14	11,14	11,14
NIST Identifiler data Ohio U miniSTR data MiniFiler kit	21	D168539	AA	DDC	9,9	9,11	9,11
210 220 220 240 25 100 110 120 150 14 100 110 120 100	22	D168539	AA	DDC	12,12	11,13	
0136317 D136317 D136317	24	D168539	AA	DDC	12,12	11,12	
Fee Fee	25	D168539	AA	DDC	9,9	9,12	
	26 27	D168539 D18851	A H	ABI IBB	11,11 13,15	10,11 15,15	13,15
Hill, C.R., Kline, M.C., Mulero, J.J., Lagace, R.E., Chang, CW., He between the AmpFISTR MiniFiler PCR Amplification Kit and conven	nness tional	sy, L.K., B STR typin	utler, J.M. ng kits. <i>J.</i>	(2007) Forensia	Concorda Sci. 52(4	nce study ): 870-873.	









http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/NISTpub.htm

Aren't the Current STR Loci Good Enough?

- Depends on the question being asked...
- For general forensic matching of evidence to suspect, the 13 CODIS STR loci are sufficient
- For other human identity/relationship testing questions, additional autosomal loci can be beneficial or even necessary











- · Genomic position
  - Adequate spacing from other (and current) loci to enable product rule use with autosomal markers
- Avoid known disease genes or linkage
  - To protect privacy concerns
- Polymorphic content (high heterozygosity)
  - More variable markers mean less can be used to reach desired rarity in full profile

























http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/NISTpub.htm



Relationship Examined	<b>15 STRs</b> (Identifiler, ID15)	ID15 <b>+ Autoplex 22</b> STRs <b>= 37 loci</b> (A37)
Mother/Child* (*with single mutation)	0.214	5,200,000 Extra loci help
Siblings	477	113,000 Extra loci help
Uncle/Nephew	824	247,000 Extra loci help
Cousins	0.45	2.25
Grandparents/ Grandchildren	0.53	1.42

**Conclusions:** Longer distance multi-generational questions cannot usually be solved with additional autosomal STRs...























