

## Forensic Applications of Insertion-Deletion (InDel) Markers

Peter M. Vallone Ph.D. Group Leader, Applied Genetics Group National Institue of Stanards and Technology (NIST) Gaithersburg, MD





## Outline

- Forensic Markers (STRs, SNPs, InDels)
- Typing SNPs and InDels
- 30 and 38plex InDel Assays
  - Characterizing assay performance
  - Allele frequencies for U.S. population samples

## Forensic Markers

- Length Variation
  - short tandem repeats (STRs)

7	ŧ		<u>88</u>	<u>60</u>	4	
-		1	Ġ ġ	4	66	
1		ġ	ė	đđ	* *	
#	4		66			

#### CTAGTCGT(GATA)(GATA)(GATA)GCGATCGT

Core STR Loci in national database

• Excellent for 1-to-1 matching

- PCR product sizes range from 100-500bp
- Commercial multiplex PCR kits (Promega, Life Tech, Qiagen)

### Forensic Markers

- Sequence Variation
  - Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

#### GCTAGTCGATGCTC(G/A)GCGTATGCTGTAGC

Length Variation
 Insertions-deletions (InDels)
 <u>GCTAGTCGATGCTC+GCGTATGCTGTAGC</u>
 <u>GCTAGTCGATGCTC(N<sub>x</sub>)GCGTATGCTGTAGC</u>

Typically biallelic

#### InDels

#### Why are we interested in using InDels?

- What are the benefits?
- What are the challenges?

#### InDels

#### Forensic Issues/Questions

- How many InDels = 13 to 15 STR loci?
- Multiplexing (25-50plex < 1 ng DNA)
- Databases (core loci legacy concerns)
- Platforms for InDel typing? Kits?
- Unique interpretation issues <u>mixtures</u>
- Validation
- Sensitivity
- Cost

# InDels

#### Advantages/Benefits

- Small PCR amplicon sizes perform better with degraded samples
- Lower mutation rate compared with STRs - (10<sup>-8</sup> vs. 10<sup>-3</sup>)
- Abundant in the human genome (2 x 10<sup>6</sup>)
- Can provide alternative information to STRs – (identity, ancestry, lineage)
- Fragment analysis typing provides a familiar workflow to STRs

# InDels

#### Limitations/Challenges

- Only one commercial kit (Qiagen DIPplex)
- InDels are not currently represented in national DNA databases
  - No widely established core loci
- Mixture resolution issues/interpretation
- Larger multiplex PCR assays



### Forensic InDel Literature 2012-2013

- anel to study biogeographic ancestry. Zaumsegel D, Rothschild MA, Sch A 21 marker insertion deletion polymorphism Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2013 Feb;7(2):305-12.
- Ferensics in the Genet. 2013 February 2013 65-12. Population genetics and 30 automation lides in Central Spann and the Basque Country populations. Martin P, Garcia O, Henrichs B, Yurnebaux J, Paunte A, Johnson M, Sharoman K, Sharoma

- mortem material. Manis F, Caladia A, Prezira E, Sako J, Amorin A, Carvaho ET, Guarnão L. Forenis Sci Int Genet. 2012 Sept3(5):563:41. Straightforward inference of ancestra yand admixater poportorism torbuga) nacestry-informative nexterion deletion multiplexing. Prezira R, Philips C, Printo N, Sentos C, do Santos SE, Amorin A, Carvacedo A, Guannia L. PLos Dne. 2012;7(1):2584. A validation study of the Giagen Investigation DiPlere<sup>4</sup> KL, an INDC-based assay for human identification. LaRue BL, G *e J*, King JL, Badowle B. Int J Legal Med. 2012;28:2124);2364. Deplation of the new innertion-deletion polymorphism kL and InDC-based assay for human identification. LaRue BL, G *e J*, King JL, Badowle A. Intri Legal Med. 2012;28:2141-57. Application of the new innertion-deletion polymorphism kL for formaci. dentification and parentage testing on the Czech population. Zakova A, Horinek A, Kehröllow V, Korabecna M, Int J Legal Med. 2013 Jan: 2717[1]:7:10. Zakova A, Horinek A, Kehröllow J, Korabecna M, Int J Legal Med. 2013 Jan: 2717[1]:7:10. Carracedo A, Larea WM, Garono L, Vallo CM. Forencis C Lin Grener. 2012; Jul (54):459-76. Commonton Jones D, Vallo CM. Forencis C Lin Grener. 2012; Jul (54):459-76. Torencis O Int General Control (1):46(1):499-910. Torping d Solt amgleophic loci In Finnih and Sormal populations. Networen AM, Ralo JJL, Hendman M, Sajantik A, Forencis Coi Int Genera. 2012; Jul (46):499-90. Typing d 2013 materical (46):469-910. Typing d 2013 materical (46):469-910.
- Foreins C. unit Super, 2012 Directophysers 102. Typing of 20 interformed folletions in Danes using the first commercial indel kit—Mentype® DPplez, Friis SL, Børsting C, Rockenbauer E, Pouben L, Fedslund ST, Tomas C, Morling N, Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2012 Marc[32]:e72–4. A method for the analysis of 32 X chromosome insertion deletion polymorphisms in a single PCR. Preriar R, Pereira V, Gomes I, Tomas C, Moring N, America A, Patsa MJ, Carraceto A, Guanião L, Int Llegal Med. 2012 Jan.22(21):57-105.
- c, woring w, amorin A, rata km, utracebo A, Guineo L. Ini Legal web. 2012 Am2(2012):47:100 Selection 023 bij(k) informative incl/B matters for humani definitions and paterinity analysis in Chinese Han population by the SWPes genophing system: U.C. Zhang S, Li L, Chen J, Liv J, Zhao S. McI Biol Rep. 2012 Mar;39(3):3143-52. Intertion-deletion polymorphisms-utilization on forensic analysis. da Costa Francez PA, Rodrigues EM, de Velasco AM, dos Santos SE. In Legal Med. 2012 Jul;22(4):591-6.























### InDel Work at NIST

- Type NIST U.S. population samples (n > 700)
   Commercial DIPplex kit
  - HID-38plex assay (from Portugal)
- Generate allele frequencies for U.S. population groups, evaluate random match probabilities
- Evaluate performance with degraded samples
- Characterization of 'off ladder' alleles

   DIPplex kit

















Allele Fi	reque	ency A	Analys	sis
<ul> <li>We performed population all typing the NIST collection of 7</li> </ul>	ele frequenc 712 U.S. pop	y analysis wi ulation samp	th both InDe les.	l multiplexes
Samples from the four repres been used. All of them indepe	entative hun endent and o	nan groups o of self-declar	f the U.S. po ed ancestry.	pulation have
<ul> <li>262 African Americans</li> <li>260 U.S. Caucasians</li> <li>140 U.S. Hispanics</li> <li>50 U.S. Asians</li> </ul>	Al pop	lele frequer pulation gro	ncies for each up were ge the markers.	ch U.S. nerated the following
RMP values were calculated.				
	U.S. Cauc	U.S. Asian	U.S. Hisp	Af-Am
Mean DIPplex RMP	1.86E-13	4.67E-11	4.88E-13	5.88E-12
Mean HID-38plex RMP	3.67E-15	5.11E-14	1.47E-15	4.74E-15
Mean Combined RMP*	6.79E-28	2.43E-24	7.20E-28	2.54E-26

Forensic performance of two insertion-deletion marker assays. Fondevila M, Phillips C, Santos C, Pereira R, Gusmão L, Carracedo A, Butler JM, Lareu MV, Vallone PM. Int J Legal Med. 2012 Sep;126(5):725-37.









	6	loci from	M each InDe	arkers separated I assay that are l	<b>by l</b> ess tl	ess ti han 1	han <b>10 N</b> LO Mb fro	<b>1b</b> om a core S	TR locus.
	CHR	STR	InDel	Physical Distance		CHR	STR	InDel	Physical Distance
	5	CSF1PO	r\$1305056	6,158,834		7	D7\$820	rs2307978	311,150
	6	SE33	r\$2307652	8,521,842	EX	11	TH01	rs10688868	1,890,820
	8	D8S1179	r\$3081400	5,959,018	đ	12	D125391	rs1610919	2,352,263
	15	PentaE	r\$2307433	7,509,680	38	12	VWA	rs1610919	8,838,263
	22	D22S1045	r\$6481	1,747,100	6	16	D165539	rs2067208	1,804,212
i	22	D22S1045	r\$16363	39,169	Ξ	21	D21511	rs35605984	4,919,264
V C C	Vhe ont ore	n conte ained i STR lo	emplati n these ci, we sh	ng the possib InDel marker Tould keep in	ility rs sy mi	/ of /ste nd t	combi ms wit hat th	ning the h each c e proxim	information other or with hity between







	All pr	ofiles shown so	caled to 2000 RF	Us		
l le	lentifiler -	– 6 STF	R marke	ers out o	f 16	
120	****		20		***	179
C	8					
1		-	••	•••	+	
	-				E	Mark Sample for Deletio
		210	250	280	380	
50						
55						
13	• • • •	1	• • • •	• • •		• •
					0	Mark Sample for Deletio
1 10		210	290	290	580	
D19	1/1//					
015	••••					
54 (62)						
					0	Hark Sample for Deletio
1 19		210	210	290	380	
Amel	D5					
X 20						















Artificially Degraded D	NA Assay
-------------------------	----------

With the number of observed alleles on each kit, we obtained the following RMP values  $% \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M})$ 

Assay	Exp. Alleles	Obs. Alleles	Loci total	Amp. Loci	RMP
Identifiler	10	5	15	5	n/a
Minifiler	16	16	9	9	2.89 e <sup>-12</sup>
DIPplex	49	49	30	30	4.77 e <sup>-14</sup>
HID-38plex	43	43	38	33	1.03 e <sup>-14</sup>

- Application of short amplicon markers such as DIPplex and Minifiler to challenging DNA samples would be of great interest for casework
- In case of limited amount of sample, InDel marker amplification should be considered versus other short amplicon assays, such as minifiler -- unless core STRs are needed
- For future sample preparation, increased shearing times could be tried in order to achieve a further level of fragmentation













bp (ATTA) deletion located 10 bases downstream the main InDel site. This is a referenced InDel on dbSNP database as rs11573892.

Observed fr	equency o	of the un	reported	variatio
		Рори	lation	
Frequency	European	African	Hispanic	Asian
D97 inbalance	0,044	0,22	0,062	0,06
D83 inbalance	0	0,08	0,015	0
D99 OL allele	0	0,0766	0,0156	0
DR4 OL allala	0	0.0443	0	0
 D84 OL allele		0,0445		0



#### Summary

- InDel genotyping can be applied to forensic DNA typing as complement to STR typing
  - Simpler workflow compared to traditional SNP typing
     Deforme well with highly degraded complete
  - Performs well with highly degraded samples
- Population frequency data for U.S. population samples have been calculated and published
- A successful protocol for artificial DNA fragmentation mimicking challenging DNA samples has been developed.
  - A comparison between long and short ampliform amplification assays has been carried out
     InDel assays have proven to be more informative for these samples
- Unreported variation on Qiagen's DIPplex Investigator kit have been characterized
   The characterization of such mobility variants would contribute to raise the informative power of the test

# Acknowledgements

- Qiagen for providing early access to DIPplex kits
- Margaret Kline and Becky Hill (NIST) for assistance with allele sequencing
- Jennifer McDaniel NIST) for assistance with the COVARIS system
- Carla Santos (USC) for providing HID-38plex primer mix
- FBI Lab and Biometrics Center of Excellence for funding

# Thanks for your attention!

Questions?

peter.vallone@nist.gov 1-301-975-4872