













































LD in casework

Collaboration to evaluate in NIST 1036 with Andreas Tillmar, National Board of Forensic Medicine Ideally confirm with multiple sample sets from same population, multiple methods

Designing panel/assay: Evaluate LD, eliminate loci as needed based on informativeness

Implementing established panel/assay:

Best – Determine haplotype frequency for pair or block • for polymorphic loci the sample size would be unfeasible

Alternative – Exclude one of the two markers during validation

Problematic – Exclude one of the two markers case-by-case
RMP vs Kinship



13



 Population specific fixation has occurred
 Low heterozygosity

 Malaria resistance in Sub-Saharan Africa
 Lighter skin pigmentation in



14

Examples

Europe

Ancestry SNP Assay Evaluation Criteria

Does the SNP panel target the populations of interest?

- Varies by country/region
- How do the SNPs perform?
 - Interlocus balance
 - Heterozygote balance
 - Concordance
- Does the interpretation model provide reliable predictions?
 - Dependent on appropriate model training data







Ancestry/Ethnicity of US Population (2010 Census) White Biack or African American Biack or African American Asian Arren itca nindia nand Alaska Native Native Ha waiian and Other Pacific I d ander Some Other Race Twoor More Reces

















<text>











SNP Assays - Conclusions

SNP genotyping performance is different from CE-STR assays

Identity SNP panels are useful for degraded samples

Identity SNP data can be combined with STR data

Linkage Disequilibrium needs to be evaluated

Labs must carefully consider how to convey ancestry and phenotype prediction information



