The Numbers behind DNA Analysis:
How do you get 1 in a trillion from only testing a few hundred people?

John M. Butler, Ph.D.
National Institute of Standards and Technology

Lockheed Martin BEACON Lecture
Rockville, MD
August 19, 2009



| DNA Testing Requires a Reference Sample |
| :--- | :--- |
| A DNA profile by itself is |
| fairly useless because it |
| has no context... |
| DNA analysis for identity |
| only works by comparison |
| - you need a reference |
| sample |



| Table 11.3 Random match probability for a 13 -locus STR profile using the U.S. Caucasian allele frequencies found in Table 11.1. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Allele 1 | Allele 2 | Allele 1 Frequency (p) | Allele 2 <br> Frequency (q) | Formula | Expected Genotype Frequency |
|  | D138317 | 11 | 14 | 033940 | 0.04801 | 2pa | 0.0028 |
|  | TH01 | 6 | 6 | 0.23179 |  | $p^{2}$ | 0.0637 |
|  | D18951 | 14 | 16 | 0.13742 | 0.13907 | 2 pq | 0.0082 |
|  | D21s11 | 28 | 30 | 0.15894 | 0.27815 | 2 pa | 0.0684 |
|  | Das1358 | 16 | 17 | 0.25331 | 0.21523 | $2 \times 9$ | 0.1000 |
|  | D5S818 | 12 | 13 | 0.38411 | 0.14073 | 2 pa | 0.1081 |
|  | D7S920 | 9 | 9 | 0.17715 |  | $p^{2}$ | 0.0314 |
|  | Des1179 | 12 | 14 | 0.18543 | 0.16556 | 2 pa | 0.0614 |
|  | CSFIPO | 10 | 10 | 0.21099 |  | $p^{2}$ | 0.0470 |
|  | FGA | 21 | 22 | 0.18543 | 0.21854 | 2 pq | 0.0810 |
|  | D165539 | 9 | 11 | 0.11258 | 0.32119 | 2 pq | 0.0723 |
|  | TPOX | 8 | 8 | 0.53477 |  | $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ | 0.2860 |
|  | vwa | ${ }^{17}$ | 18 | 0.28146 | 0.20083 | 2 pq | 0.1128 |
|  | AMEL | X | Y |  |  |  |  |
|  | Froduct $\mathrm{ra}_{\text {de }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $1.20 \times 10^{-15}$ |
|  | Combined frequancy |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { in } 8.37 \times 10^{14} \\ 1 \text { in } 837 \text { trillion } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

## Generating a DNA Profile





## Basis of DNA Profiling

The genome of each individual is unique (with the exception of identical twins) and is inherited from parents

Probe subsets of genetic variation in order to differentiate between individuals (statistical probabilities of a random match are used)

DNA typing must be performed efficiently and reproducibly (information must hold up in court)

Current standard DNA tests DO NOT look at genes little/no information about race, predisposal to disease, or phenotypical information (eye color, height, hair color) is obtained

## Short Tandem Repeat (STR) Markers

An accordion-like DNA sequence that occurs between genes
TCCCAAGCTCTTCCTCTTCCCTAGATCAATACAGACAGAAGACA GGTGGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGA TAGATATCATTGAAAGACAAAACAGAGATGGATGATAGATACAT GCTTACAGATGCACAC

$$
\text { = } 11 \text { GATA repeats (" } 11 \text { " is all that is reported) }
$$




## DNA Marker Nomenclature

## TH01

Tyrosine Hydoxylase gene, intron 01

D16S539
D: DNA
16: chromosome 16
S: single copy sequence
539: 539th locus described on chromosome 16



| DNA Profile Frequency with all 13 CODIS STR loci |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 150 | ${ }^{175}$ | ${ }^{2} 26$ | E50 |  | ${ }^{225}$ | 500 |
| AmpFISTR ${ }^{\Phi}$ Identifiler ${ }^{m / 1}$ (Applied Biosystems) |  |  |  |  |  |  | CSF <br> ili |  |
| What would be entered into a DNA database for searching: | Locus | allele | value | allele | value | 1 in | Combined | $P$ |
|  | D3S1358 | 16 | 0.2533 | 17 | 0.2152 | 9.17 | 9.17 |  |
|  | VWA | 17 | 0.2815 | 18 | 0.2003 | 8.87 | 81 | R |
|  | FGA | 21 | 0.1854 | 22 | 0.2185 | 12.35 | 1005 | 0 |
| 21,22- | D8S1179 | 12 | 0.1854 | 14 | 0.1656 | 16.29 | 16,364 | D |
| 12,14- | D21S11 | 28 | 0.1589 | 30 | 0.2782 | 11.31 | 185,073 | 3 |
| 28,30- | D18S51 | 14 | 0.1374 | 16 | 0.1391 | 26.18 | 4,845,217 | T |
| 14,16- | D5S818 | 12 | 0.3841 | 13 | 0.1407 | 9.25 | 44,818,259 | T |
| 11,14- | D13S317 | 11 | 0.3394 | 14 | 0.0480 | 30.69 | $1.38 \times 10^{9}$ | R |
| 9,9- | D7S820 | 9 | 0.1772 |  |  | 31.85 | $4.38 \times 10^{10}$ | U |
| 9,11- | D16S539 | 9 | 0.1126 | 11 | 0.3212 | 13.8 | $6.05 \times 10^{11}$ | L |
| 6,6- | THO1 | 6 | 0.2318 |  |  | 18.62 | $1.13 \times 10^{13}$ | E |
| 10,10 | TPOX | 8 | 0.5348 |  |  | 3.50 | $3.94 \times 10^{13}$ |  |
|  | CSF1PO | 10 | 0.2169 |  |  | 21.28 | $8.37 \times 10^{14}$ |  |
| The Random Match Probability for this profile in the U.S. Caucasian population is $\mathbf{1}$ in 837 trillion ( $\mathbf{1 0}^{12}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | Determining the Frequency of Various STR Genotypes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.11 |  |  |  |
| cin | Summay Coun of | Summav Count of |  |
|  | 为 1.2 seen 4 itines |  | $8=440=0.10$ $g=1400=0.025$ |
| , |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | , 8. s seen 1 time | 14 seen 2 Itmes | $14=2400.05$ |
| (in |  |  |  |
| , |  |  | man |
|  |  | alles |  |



| Comparison of Allele Frequencies Measured with Different Studies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D13S317 | Africa | merican | 30 times more samples in the larger study yet the allele frequencies are fairly similar |  |
| Alleles | $N=7833$ | $N=258$ |  |  |
| 7 | 0.0001 | - |  |  |
| 8 | 0.0260 | 0.0330 |  |  |
| 9 | 0.0218 | 0.0330 |  |  |
| 10 | 0.0273 | 0.0233 |  |  |
| 11 | 0.2940 | 0.3062 |  |  |
| 12 | 0.4290 | 0.4244 |  | Smaller Study |
| 13 | 0.1520 | 0.1454 |  | Butler et al. (2003) <br> JFS 48(4):908-911 |
| 14 | 0.0486 | 0.0349 |  | Larger Study |
| 15 | 0.0010 | - |  | Einum et al. (2004) |
| 16 | 0.0002 | - |  | JFS 49(6): 1381-1385 |
| Minimum alele frequency ( $5 / 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ) | 0.0003 | 0.0096 |  |  |



## Data behind FBI PopStats Program

```
    Budowle et al. (2001) J. Forensic Sci. 46(3):453-489
Bruce Budowle,' Ph.D.; Brendan Shea,, M.S.; Stephen Niezgoda, 2 M.B.A.; and
Ranajit Chakrabory,'3 Ph.D.
CODIS STR Loci Data from 41 Sample Populations*
```

There was little evidence for departures from Hardy-Weinberg expectations (HWE) in any of the populations.

The $F_{\text {ST }}$ estimates over all thirteen STR loci are 0.0006 for African Americans, 0.0005 for Caucasians, 0.0021 for Hispanics, 0.0039 for Asians, and 0.0282 for Native Americans.

## The Same 13 Locus STR Profile in Different Populations

1 in 837 trillion
1 in 0.84 quadrillion ( $\mathbf{1 0}^{15}$ ) in U.S. Caucasian population (NIST)
1 in 2.46 quadrillion ( $1 \mathbf{1 0}^{15}$ ) in U.S. Caucasian population (FBI)*
1 in 1.86 quadrillion ( $\mathbf{1 0}^{15}$ ) in Canadian Caucasian population*
1 in 16.6 quadrillion ( $\mathbf{1 0}^{15}$ ) in African American population (NIST)
1 in $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ quadrillion ( $\mathbf{1 0}{ }^{15}$ ) in African American population (FBI)*
1 in 18.0 quadrillion $\left(\mathbf{1 0}^{15}\right)$ in U.S. Hispanic population (NIST)
These values are for unrelated individuals assuming no population substructure (using only $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ and 2 pq )

NIST study: Butler, J.M., et al. (2003) Allele frequencies for 15 autosomal STR loci on U.S Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic populations. J. Forensic Sci. 48(4):908-911. (http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/NISTpop.htm)
*http://www.csfs.ca/pplus/profiler.htm


How Statistical Calculations are Made

- Generate data with set(s) of samples from desired population group(s)
- Generally only 100-150 samples are needed to obtain reliable allele frequency estimates
- Determine allele frequencies at each locus
- Count number of each allele seen
- Allele frequency information is used to estimate the rarity of a particular DNA profile
- Homozygotes ( ${ }^{2}$ ), Heterozygotes (2pq)
- Product rule used (multiply locus frequency estimates)


## Applying Genetic Models and Formulas



A Three-Generation Family Pedigree with Genetic Results from a Single STR Marker (FGA)

(c)


The Second National Research Council Report (NRC II) Published in 1996


- Recommends various formulas to use to correct for inbreeding (subpopulation structure)
- Theta $(\theta)$ is a measure of the average level of co-ancestry (i.e., inbreeding)
- Usually <0.01 with normal groups
- Usually <0.03 with closed populations (e.g., Native American tribes)
"Inbreeding means mating of two persons who are more closely related than if they were chosen at random" (NRC II, p. 98).


How Are Such Large Numbers Generated with Random Match Probabilities?

- Each allele is sampled multiple times to produce a statistically stable allele frequency
- Using theoretical models from genetics, multiple loci are multiplied together to produce an estimate of the rarity of a particular DNA profile (combination of STR alleles based on individual allele frequencies)
- Remember that relatives will share genetic characteristics and thus have STR profiles that are more similar to one another than unrelated individuals
- We are not looking at every person on the planet nor are we looking at every nucleotide in the suspect's genome


## Three DNA Forensic Categories Typically Faced

- Single Source: DNA profile of the evidence sample providing indications of it being of a single source origin
- Mixture of DNA: Evidence sample DNA profile suggests it being a mixture of DNA from multiple (more than one) individuals
- Kinship Determination: Evidence sample DNA profile compared with that of one or more reference profiles is to be used to determine the validity of stated biological relatedness among individuals
http://www.promega.com/geneticidproc/ussymp17proc/workshops/PromegaMixtureStats2006.pdf

The Three Possible Outcomes of Evidence Examination (Q-K Comparison)

- Exclusion (no match)


The Statistic (Determining the Weight of the Evidence) Should Be Calculated from the Evidence


Thank you for your attention...
Our team publications and presentations are available at:
http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase/NISTpub.htm


See also http://www.dna.gov/research/nist http://www.cstl.nist.gov/biotech/strbase john.butler@nist.gov

